Verification and Validation of Complex Physiological Mathematical Models

Richard A. Gray, PhD

Division of Biomedical Physics, Office of Science and Engineering Laboratories, Center of Devices Radiological and Health, Food and Drug Administration



Whole Heart Electrophysiology Is Inherently Multi-scale



electrocardiogram (ECG)

artist: Frank Netter image courtesy of Elsevier, Inc



Whole Heart Electrophysiology Is Inherently Multi-scale







"I believe very strongly that the fundamental unit, the correct level of abstraction, is the cell and not the genome."

Syndey Brenner

Noble Prize winner for Physiology or Medicine (2002) for his work on molecular biology



We are developing models using experimental data from multi-scale





We are developing models using experimental data from multi-scale



same species, same physiological environment (e.g. temperature, extracellular ion concentrations, etc.)



Simulation of Ventricular Fibrillation



http://tinyurl.com/VFsim



These Simulations Allow us to Look Inside the Virtual Heart



behavior results from a combination of function (cell dynamics) AND structure (heart geometry)

Pathmanathan & Gray, Filament dynamics during simulated ventricular fibrillation in a highresolution rabbit heart, *BioMed Research, in press*.



Verification, Validation, and Uncertainty Quantification (VVUQ)

Verification:

Does the computational model accurately solve the underlying mathematical model?

Validation:

How well does the computational model approximate 'reality'?

Uncertainty Quantification (UQ):

How much does uncertainty in parameters / initial conditions affect the results?





Verification Examples

First tool for strongly verifying 'bidomain' solvers – can be used by anyone to demonstrate correctness of their solvers (method of manufactured solutions).

- high confidence in solver correctness
- exact-error convergence analyses

Pathmanathan & Gray, Verification of computational models of cardiac electrophysiology, IJNMBE, 2014

Developed verification test problems for cardiac mechanics solvers

used to verify two independently-written solvers, and can be applied to others

Gurev et al., A computational model of the deforming human heart, BMMB, 2015



Validation of Physiological Models

Extremely difficult and linked to context of use (COU)!

How well do existing models represent new data?



How does one validate complex models of complex phenomenon that are multi-scale in time and space?





Uncertainty Quantification

maybe the biggest challenge in rigorous credibility assessment!

Example: Using a novel statistical approach for assessing voltage-clamp data, we have quantified population variability in a sodium channel sub-component



Pathmanathan et al., Uncertainty quantification of fast sodium current steady-state inactivation for multi-scale models of cardiac electrophysiology, PBMB 2015



Summary

VVUQ of physiological/mechanistic models is

- 1) more complicated than for physics-based models
- 2) in its infancy

This presents unique challenges!

- 1) Can we reduce complexity?
- 2) Are models "identifiable"?
- 3) Is there a need for general VVUQ, not just COU?
- 4) Should/can we develop physiological based models with a COU in mind?

